INVOICE

Date: 08/02/2017 File No. 17-0198

Case No.

Prepared for:

Maria Salas Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

Property Appraised:

Ortegon, Martin R & May D 1547 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205

Work Performed:

Appraisal	\$ \$_	75.00
iscount	\$\$	00.00
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	Total Amount Due: \$27	75.00

Please make checks payable to:

Levitt Appraisal Service 3715 Portsmouth Circle South Stockton, CA 95219

APPRAISAL REPORT OF



1547 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205

PREPARED FOR

Maria Salas Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

AS OF

07/25/2017

PREPARED BY

Levitt Appraisal Service 3715 Portsmouth Circle South Stockton, CA 95219

08/02/2017

Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

RE: Ortegon, Martin R & May D

1547 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205

File No. 17-0198

Case No.

Dear Maria,

In accordance with your request, I have personally inspected and prepared an appraisal report of the real property located at:

1547 E. Anderson Street, Stockton, CA 95205

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the property described in the body of this appraisal report.

Enclosed, please find the appraisal report which describes certain data gathered during our investigation of the property. The methods of approach and reasoning in the valuation of the various physical and economic factors of the subject property are contained in this report.

An inspection of the property and a study of pertinent factors, including valuation trends and an analysis of neighborhood data, led the appraiser to the conclusion that the market value, as of **07/25/2017** is:

\$ 160,000

The opinion of value expressed in this report is contingent upon the limiting conditions attached to this report.

It has been a pleasure to assist you. If I may be of further service to you in the future, please let me know.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature:

Gregory L. Levitt AL031586

Appraisal Report

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No.	17-0198
Case No.	

	The purpose of this appraisal report is to provide	the lander/client with an accurate, and	adequately supported eninion of the	market value of the cubic	ot proporty
					·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Anderson Street	City Stockton	State CA Zip	
	Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May I		Ortegon, Martin R & May	D County	San Joaquin
	Legal Description	Lot 41,	Block 3, Por. Homequest		
	Assessor's Parcel #	155-100-10	Tax Year 2	017 R.E. Taxes	s\$ 580.46
万	Neighborhood Name Ho	omequest	Map Reference Compass Pg.	227 C4 Census Tra	act 0019.00
ECT		nt Special Assessments \$	O PUD HOA\$	0	per year per month
M	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)	1 1 0 1 10/14		por your por monur
SUBJE			/ 1 1 1 1		
U			er (describe)	''. 440 O . D'	04.00400
	Lender/Client Salas Financia		9320 Chesapeake Drive Su		
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale of			of this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), ar	nd date(s). The data source is M	letrolist		
	I did did not analyze the contract for	r sale for the subject purchase transact	ion. Explain the results of the analysis	of the contract for sale o	r why the analysis was not
	performed.	sale for the subject parenage transact	Explain the results of the analysis		in in the analysis mas not
片	perioritiea.				
¥		 			()
巴	Contract Price \$ Date of Cont			es No Data Source	·
z	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, s	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	assistance, etc.) to be paid by any pa	rty on behalf of the borro	wer? Yes No
CONTRACT	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe	e the items to be paid.			
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the	neighborhood are not appraisal fac	tors.		
	Neighborhood Characteristics		Housing Trends	One-Unit Housing	Present Land Use %
	Location Urban X Suburban Rura			PRICE AGE	One-Unit 90 %
		er 25% Demand/Supply Shorta		\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit %
Ō					
RHOOD	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow			65 Low 5	Multi-Family %
	Neighborhood Boundaries Highway 4 Crossto	own Freeway to the north, Highway	99 to the east, MLK Jr	260 High 100+	Commercial 10 %
9	Boulevard/Charter Way, and Airport Way to t	the west.		160 Pred. 60	Other %
NEIGHBO	Neighborhood Description ***Please see Com	nment Addendum for comments on	Neighborhood Description***		
H					
Z					
	Market Conditions (including support for the above	ve conclusions) ***Please see Con	ment Addendum for comments on	Market Conditions***	
		•			
	Dimensions 50 x 100 (See Plat	Map) Area 5,0 0	00 sf Shape Rectang	gular View	Typ. Residential
	Specific Zoning Classification RI			tial; Medium Densi	
	· — · — —		No Zoning Illegal (describe)	,	-,
	Is the highest and best use of subject property as			X Yes No If No, o	docariba
	is the highest and best use of subject property as	s improved (or as proposed per plans	and specifications) the present use?	A Tes NO II NO, C	describe.
	Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other (de	acriba) Off site Imp	rovementsType	Public Private
ш	Electricity X	Water X	Street	Asphalt	X Tivate
SIT	Gas X	Sanitary Sewer X	Alley	None	
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X		FEMA Map # 06077C-		ate 10/16/2009
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typic		No If No, describe.	T LIVIA Map D	rate 10/10/2000
			,	to \2 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	If Van dagariba
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external				If Yes, describe.
	There are no adverse conditions known or ob		-		
	copy of the preliminary title report, and theref				
	investigation and survey. The subject's exter				
	General Description	Foundation		/condition Interior	materials/condition
	Units X One One with Accessory Unit	Concrete Slab X Crawl Space	Foundation Walls Conc. Perin		Carpet/Tile-Avg.
	# of Stories One	Full Basement Partial Basement	Exterior Walls Wood-A	wg. Walls	Drywall-Avg.
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Ba	Basement Area 0 sq. ft	Roof Surface Composition	n-Avg. Trim/Finish	Wood/Paint-Avg.
	X Existing Proposed Under Const. Ba				Tile-Avg.
	Design (Style) Ranch	Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump			cot Tile-Avg.
		vidence of Infestation None Notes			
	Effective Age (Yrs) 35	Dampness Settlement	Screens Mesh-A		vay # of Cars 1
		leating FWA HWBB Radian		ve(s) # 0 Driveway Su	
		X Other FAU Fuel Gas	Fireplace(s) # 0 X Fence CI		
ဟု		Cooling X Central Air Conditioning		Covered Carport	
ENTS	Finished Heated	Individual Other	Pool None Other	None X Att.	Det. Built-in
믵	Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven		rowave Washer/Dryer X Other (n Counters - Tile
/EM	Finished area above grade contains: 5		· ,	re Feet of Gross Living A	
ROV	Additional features (special energy efficient items		covered porch, partial dual pane	e windows, central he	eat, storage shed,
P.B.	covered concrete patio and a detached				
MP	Describe the condition of the property (including	needed repairs, deterioration, renovat	ions, remodeling, etc.). ***Please	see Comment Adder	ndum for comments on
	Condition of Improvements***				
	Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse co	conditions that affect the livability, sour	dness, or structural integrity of the pro	perty? Yes X No	If Yes, describe
	The appraiser has not been provided with	•			
	when warranted. See Limiting Condition	•		, J	
	Does the property generally conform to the neigh	hborhood (functional utility style conc	ition, use, construction, etc.)? X Ye	No If No, describ	De .
	p generally contain to the heigh		,,		

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No.

17-0198 Case No.

	There are 8 con	nparable properties cur	rently offered for sale in	the subject neighbo	orhood ranging in	price fr	rom \$ 99,0	00 to \$ 22	5,00	
	There are 32 con	nparable sales in the si	ubject neighborhood with	in the past twelve i	months ranging in	sale p	rice from \$	70,000 to \$	21	7,000 .
	FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE		COMPARA			COMPARABLE	SALF	= # 3
		•								
	7 144.000	Inderson Street	1540 E. Wo	rtn Street	1539 E. A	anaer	son Street	1720 Milto		
	Stockto	on, CA 95205	Stockton, 0	CA 95205	Stockt	on, C	A 95205	Stockton,	CA	95205
	Proximity to Subject		0.06 mi	les N	0.0	1 mile	es W	0.10 mi	68	NF
		c			0.0					
	Sale Price	\$	\$	175,000		\$	160,000	\$		140,000
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 0.00 sq. ff	. \$ 173.61	sq. ft.	\$ 196.08	S	q. ft.	\$ 173.05	sq. f	<u>t.</u>
	Data Source(s)	Inspection	MLS# 17	013677	MLS	# 170	15109	MLS# 17	003	622
		NDC Data	NDC Data/DOC				C#75208	NDC Data/D		
	Verification Source(s)				-				\neg	
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	ON	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+((-) \$ Adjustment
	Sale or Financing		FHA 92 DOM		FHA 88 DC	MC		Conv. 38 DOM		
	Concessions		L/P \$174,900/\$0	Ni			Ni		\top	Ni
							IVI		_	
	Date of Sale/Time		07/25/2017 COE		07/03/2017			04/27/2017 COE	_	
	Location	Average/RRYard	I Average/RRYard	I	Average/RR	Yard		Average/RRYar	t	
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simp	le		Fee Simple		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	AI:			NI:		+	- NI:
	Site	5000 sf	5000 sf	Ni			Ni		_	Ni
	View	Typ. Residentia	Typ. Residential		Typ. Reside	ntial		Typ. Residentia	1	
	Design (Style)	Ranch	Ranch		Ranch			Ranch	Т	
									+	
	Quality of Construction	Average	Average		Average	,		Average	+	
	Actual Age	64	46	Ni	29		-8,750	64	\perp	Ni
	Condition	Average	Updated	-8,750	Average	•	Ni	Average		Ni
	Above Grade	Total Bdrms Baths	 			Baths	+3 000	Total Bdrms. Baths	. —	+3,000
				141			. 0,000		$\overline{}$. 0,000
	Room Count	5 3 1.00				1.00		4 2 1.00	_	
	Gross Living Area	999 sq. f	t. 1,008 sq. ft	. Ni	816	sq. ft.	+6,405	809 sq. f	t.	+6,650
	Basement & Finished	None	None		None		-	None	\top	
					1					
co	Rooms Below Grade	None	None		None			None	\bot	
S	Functional Utility	Average	Average		Average	9		Average		
ANALYSIS	Heating/Cooling	FAU/Central	FAU/Central	Ni	Wall/Wind	οw	+3,000	Wall/Window		+3,000
4							10,000		+	- 0,000
Z	Energy Efficient Items	Dual Panes	Dual Panes		Dual Pane			Dual Panes	+	
⋖	Garage/Carport	1 Car Garage	2 Car Garage	-3,000	1 Car Gara	age	Ni	1 Car Garage	Ш	Ni
COMPARISON	Porch/Patio/Deck	Porch/Patio	Porch/Patio		Porch/Pat	tio		Porch/Patio		
S		None	None		None			None	+	
~	Fireplace	None	None		None			None	+	
⋖									\perp	
₽										
Q	Net Adjustment (Total)		+ X -	\$ -11,750	X + -		\$ 3,655	X + -	\$	12,650
ပ				Ψ 11,700			Ψ σ,σσσ		Ψ	12,000
S	Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj: -7%		Net Adj: 2%			Net Adj: 9%		
Щ	of Comparables		Gross Adj : 7%	\$ 163,250	Gross Adj: 13	%	\$ 163,655	Gross Adj: 9%	\$	152,650
SAI	I X did did not re	search the sale or tran	sfer history of the subject	t property and com	narable sales. If n	not exr	nlain			
	Data source(s) Public My research X did Data source(s) Public Report the results of the r ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source (s) Analysis of prior sale or tr thirty six months. Co properties.	did not reveal any professional did not reveal di	or sales or transfers of ta a if the prior sale or transfer BJECT N/A N/A N/A C Data 25/2017 bject property and comp	he comparable sale or history of the sub COMPARABLE S N/A \$ N/A NDC Da 07/25/20 arable sales The	es for the year prices for the year prices property and SALE # 1	compa COM	e date of sale of the arable sales (report PARABLE SALE # N/A \$ N/A NDC Data 07/25/2017 is not transferred	e comparable sale. t additional prior sales t 2 COMPAR 09/3 \$ 1 NDC Data 07/2 ed ownership within	ABLE 30/2 07,0 /DO 25/2	E SALE # 3 2016 0000 0C#119150 2017 e previous
	Summary of Sales Compa	arison Approach <u>***</u> I	Please see Comme	nt Addendum fo	r comments or	n Sale	es Comparison	Approach***		
	1 11 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		400.000							
	Indicated Value by Sales					_				
	Indicated Value by: Sale	es Comparison Appro	ach \$ 160,000	Cost Approach (if	developed) \$	163,	435 Income A	pproach (if develope	d) \$	
	The sales comparisor					s of b	uyers & sellers	in the real estate m	ıark	et. The cost
Z	approach is not requi									
Ō							acii is fiot feqt	med and given iitti	<i>-</i> ₩€	ayıı, as
F	residential properties									
RECONCILIATION	This appraisal is made	X "as is," subi	ect to completion per pla	ans and specification	ns on the basis of	f a hypo	othetical condition	that the improvements	hav	e been
景	completed, subject to	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				•		
9		• .		• •				•		•
ō	following required inspect									conditions.
S	This is an Appraisal Rep	port. Please see Cor	nment Addendum for a	additional comme	nts. The electro	nic sig	<u>ınature is the s</u> ar	ne as the live signatu	re.	
W	Based on a complete vis									limitina
-	conditions, and apprais								unu	y
		s of 07/25/2	• •	rket value, as deti is the date of insp	•	•				
	,, , a		, WIIIOII		with the C		or und upp			

Case No.

File No. **17-0198**

	Uniform Residential Appraisal Report	Case No.		
_				
ည				
EN.				
₹				
000				
AL				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
듬				
AD				
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Provide adequate information for the lander/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations			
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations.	be noted that th	ne appraiser was	
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the			and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should			and
H)	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value.		orced to use the la	and
кодсн	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value.	appraiser was f		and
PPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value.	appraiser was f	orced to use the la	and
T APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	appraiser was f \$ 165.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835	and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	appraiser was f \$ 165.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835	and
COST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Total Estimate of Cost-new Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515	and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Total Estimate of Cost-new Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515	and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Total Estimate of Cost-new Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515	and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0 reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Depreciated Cost of Improvements Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000	and
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0 reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Depreciated Cost of Improvements Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435	and
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0 reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Depreciated Cost of Improvements Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000	and
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTIONOR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0 reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Depreciated Cost of Improvements Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 0 External 4 5,000	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000	and
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost Garage/Carport 242 Sq. Ft. @ Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation 61,080 0 reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 0 External 4 5,000	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000	and
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to Depreciation Functional Operication Functional Cost Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Survices and calculations. It set water methods for estimating site value) It should It should It should It should It should Page 10 Page 20 P	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 0 External 4 5,000	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000	and
COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Incurrence of the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) A Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDS (if applicable) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an account of the HOA and the subject property is an acco	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 Compare the external of th	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income Approach (including support	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 Compare the external of th	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income Approach (including support	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 Compare the external of th	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income Approach (including support	\$ 165.00 \$ \$ 40.00 Compare the external of th	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data O7/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Less Physical 35 Functional functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier =\$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Summary of Income Approach (including support	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00 Comparison of the state of	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
FORMATION INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data source(s) Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of conversion. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No If No, describe the status of completion	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00 Comparison of the state of	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
FORMATION INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION R REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Including contractor estimates. There is no Including contractor estimates. There is no Including Cost-new Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Including Cost of Improvements. Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier is in control of the HOA and the subject pro	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00 Comparison of the state of	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
FORMATION INCOME COST	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional obsolescence. The figure in the external obsolescence is to reflect the loss in value due to its location in close proximity to the BNSF Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION FOR PUDs (if applicable) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes No Unit type(s) Detached Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the HOA and the subject property is an a Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data source(s) Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes No If Yes, date of conversion. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No If No, describe the status of completion	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00 O External 4 5,000 Attached attached dwelling units and the standard stan	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and
INCOME	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and calculations. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods for estimating site value) It should unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous twelve month period, therefore, the extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION R REPLACEMENT COST NEW OPINION OF SITE VALUE Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Dwelling 999 Sq. Ft. @ Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 07/2017 Sq. Ft. @ Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Including contractor estimates. There is no Including contractor estimates. There is no Including Cost-new Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no Including Cost of Improvements. Railroad Yard. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. "As-is" Value of Site Improvements. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 45 Years Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier = \$ Indicated Value By Cost Approach INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE (not required by Fannie Mae.) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier is in control of the HOA and the subject pro	\$ 165.00 \$ 40.00 O External 4 5,000 Attached attached dwelling units and the standard stan	=\$ 50,000 =\$ 164,835 =\$ 9,680 =\$ 174,515 =\$ (66,080 =\$ 108,435 =\$ 5,000 =\$ 163,435	and

Levitt Appraisal Service EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address 1547 E. Anderson Street

CityStocktonCountySan JoaquinStateCAZip Code95205Lender/ClientSalas FinancialAddress9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123

	FEATURE	,	SUBJECT		COMPAI	RABLE	SALE# 4		COMPA	ARABLE S	SALE# 5	C	OMPA	RABLE S	ALE#	6
	Address 1547 E. A	nders	on Street	1	341 E.	Ande	rson Street									
	Stockto	on, CA	A 95205		Stock	cton, C	CA 95205									
	Proximity to Subject				0.	16 mil	es W									
	Sale Price	\$				\$	185,000			\$				\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	-	0.00 sq. ft.	\$	171.6		sq. ft.	\$			q. ft.	\$			q. ft.	
	Data Source(s)	_	nspection	Ť			042830	Ť			4	<u> </u>			9	
	Verification Source(s)		NDC Data	Р			s/NDC Data									
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS		SCRIPTION		ESCRIPT		+(-) \$ Adjustment		DESCRIP	DTIONI	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIF	TION	+() ¢ Λ _C	liuctmont
	Sale or Financing	DL	23CKIF HON				+(-) \$ Aujustinem		JESCKIF	TION	+(-) \$ Aujustinent	DL	JOCKIE	TION	+(-) \$ AC	ljustment
					ctive 4 D		Ni									
	Concessions				L/P \$185		INI	-								
	Date of Sale/Time	A .	(DD)(1		21/2017											
	Location		rage/RRYard													
	Leasehold/Fee Simple		ee Simple	<u> </u>	ee Sim	-										
	Site		5000 sf		3677 s		Ni									
	View	Тур.	Residential	Тур	. Resid											
	Design (Style)		Ranch		Ranch											
	Quality of Construction	1	Average		Averag	je										
	Actual Age		64		89		Ni	_								
	Condition		Average		Update	d	-9,250)						_		
	Above Grade	Total	Bdrms. Baths	Total	Bdrms.	Baths	+3,000	Tota	al Bdrms	s. Baths		Total	Bdrms	s. Baths		
	Room Count	5	3 1.00	4	2	1.00										
	Gross Living Area	9	999 sq. ft.	1	,078	sq. ft.	Ni	ı		sq. ft.				sq. ft		
	Basement & Finished		None		None											
	Rooms Below Grade		None		None)										
	Functional Utility		Average		Averag	je										
တ	Heating/Cooling	F.A	AU/Central	F	loor/No	one	+3,000									
S	Energy Efficient Items	Dı	ual Panes	D	ual Par	nes										
COMPARISON ANALYSIS	Garage/Carport	1 0	Car Garage	1 (Car Gar	rage	Ni									
Ž	Porch/Patio/Deck	Po	orch/Patio	Р	orch/Pa	atio										
2	Fireplace		None		None	,										
Ö	·															
3																
₹	Net Adjustment (Total)				+ X	-	\$ -3,250		+] -	\$		+	-	\$	
₹	Adjusted Sale Price			Net /	Adj: -2%	,)		Net	Adj: 0%	%		Net /	\dj: 09	6		
8	of Comparables			Gros	s Adj : 8	8%	\$ 181,750	Gro	ss Adj:	0%	\$		s Adj:		\$	
ES	·			_	•		,									
	Report the results of the r	esearch	n and analysis of	the pri	or sale or	r transfe	er history of the sub	ject p	roperty a	and compa	rable sales					
SA	ITEM			BJECT			COMPARABLE SA				ARABLE SALE#	5	СО	MPARAB	LE SALE :	# 6
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		N/A			12/05/20	16								
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfe		\$	N/A			\$ 70,00	0								
	Data Source(s)		ND	C Dat	а	1	NDC Data/DOC	#150	0165							
	Effective Date of Data Sou	urce(s)	07/2	5/201	17		07/25/20	17								
	Analysis of prior sale or tra		nistory of the sub	iect pro	perty and	d compa	arable sales The	sub	ject pro	perty ha	s not transferre	d ow	nershi	p within	the prev	/ious
	thirty six months. Co															
	properties.						•		•							
	Summary of Sales Compa	arison A	onroach Comr	arabl	e #4 is a	an acti	ve listing from v	vithir	the su	bject's m	narket area that	has b	een i	ncluded	to help s	support
			ipprodon comp				een adjusted for	rits	significa	ant differ	ences.					
	the subject's estimate			mpara	able #4	nas be			_							
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas be										
				mpara	able #4	nas pe										

Levitt Appraisal Service COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. 17-0198

Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R	Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D								
Property Address 1547 E. Anderson Street									
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205			
Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesaneake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123									

COMMENTS ON NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION:

The subject is located in an established neighborhood within the City of Stockton. The area consists of single family homes of various floor plan styles, including single and two story of various bedroom and bathroom counts. The subject fits the general condition and quality of the area. All local amenities are within close proximity. The subject has easy access to Highway 99 and Highway 4. In addition to SFR's there are commercial properties interspersed throughout the area.

COMMENTS ON MARKET CONDITIONS:

The area has had moderate sales volume with values remaining predominately stable over the previous twelve months. This market appears stable as current interest rates remain favorable. The subject's market area has been a mix of traditional sales, REO sales and short sale properties, with traditional sales currently the dominate factor within this market area. Currently supply and demand appear to be in balance.

COMMENTS ON CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS:

The subject is of average quality construction and in average overall condition, with no significant deferred maintenance observed. There is no functional obsolescence noted. At the time of inspection all utilities (i.e. water, gas and electric) were on and appeared to be in working order. All kitchen cabinetry and appliances were present and appeared functional. At the time of inspection, there was an area of damaged fascia boar at the rear of the dwelling and a tree in the rear yard had a large branch that had broken and fallen.

COMMENTS ON SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

All comparables are from within the subject's market area and have been adjusted for their significant differences. Comparables #2, #3 and #4 required adjustments to reflect their differences in bedroom counts. Comparables #1 and #4 required adjustments to reflect recent updating (@ 5%). Comparables #2 and #3 required adjustments to reflect their significant differences in GLA square footage and to reflect their lack of dual pane windows. Comparable #1 required an adjustment to reflect its superior garage space. Comparable #2 required an adjustment to reflect a significant difference in age (@ \$250/yr for differences in excess of 25/yrs). Comparable #4 required an adjustment to reflect its lack of central heat and air. After making all the necessary adjustments to all comparables, these comparables are considered to be the most recent, most similar, and best indicators of current market value. After adjustments, equal consideration was given comparables #1 and #2 as they are the most recent sales, from within the subject's immediate neighborhood and area supported by comparable #4, an active listing from within the subject's market area. The opinion of value stated is consistent with the predominate value for the area.

MLS photographs of the comparable properties have been utilized within this report

COMMENTS ON EXPOSURE TIME:

The estimated length of time that the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal; a retrospective opinion based on an analysis of past events assuming a competitive and open market. The appraiser has determined the subject property would have to be exposed for 30 - 90 days in order to have a market value range of \$140,000 - \$175,000 on the effective date of this appraisal.

INTENDED USER:

Clarification of Intended User - (Certification #23) The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client (Salas Financial). The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser.

DISCLOSURE OF PRIOR APPRAISAL AND/OR OTHER SERVICES:

In compliance with the ethics rule of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, I have not performed any prior services regarding the subject property, as an appraiser, or in any other capacity, within the 3 year period immediately preceding acceptance of this appraisal assignment. Furthermore, I certify that I do not have any current or prospective interest in the subject property or the parties involved.

Gregory L. Levitt Appraiser, AL031586

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. **17-019** Case No.

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005 Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

File No. 17-0198 Case No.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.


APPRAISER	\sim 2	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
	es 2 Louin	
Signature	2007 MU	Signature
Name	Gregory L. Levitt	Name
Company Name	Levitt Appraisal Service	Company Name
Company Address _	3715 Portsmouth Circle South	Company Address
_	Stockton, CA 95219	
Telephone Number_	(209) 603-2023	Telephone Number
Email Address _	ttivelg@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature an	d Report08/02/2017	Date of Signature
Effective Date of App		State Certification #
State Certification #		or State License #
or State License#	AL031586	State CA
or Other (describe)	State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State	CA	
Expiration Date of Co	ertification or License08/14/2019	
		SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROP	PERTY APPRAISED	
	1547 E. Anderson Street	Did not inspect subject property
	Stockton, CA 95205	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
		Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE	OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$160,000	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT		Date of Inspection
Name _	Maria Salas	
Company Name _	Salas Financial	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address _	9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
_	San Diego, CA 92123	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address _		Date of Inspection

Levitt Appraisal Service SKETCH ADDENDUM

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Street					
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client Sa	las Financial	Address	9320 Chesape	ake Drive Suit	e 116, San Dieg	jo, CA 92123



	SKETCH CALCULATIONS			
A1 A2	A1: 15.0 x 13.0 = A2: 40.0 x 24.5 = A3: 11.0 x 6.0 = Attached Garage	195.0 980.0 66.0 -242.0		
A3	First Floor	999.0		
	Total Living Area	999.0		
A5	A5 : 11.0 x 22.0 =	242.0		
	Attached Garage	242.0		
	Total Garage Area	242.0		
A6	A6: 15.0 x 6.0 =	90.0		
	Porch	90.0		
	Total Porch Area	90.0		

17-0198 File No. Case No.

Ortegon, Martin R & May D Borrower

Lender/Client

1547 E. Anderson Street Property Address City Stockton San Joaquin State CA Zip Code 95205 County Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123

Address


Pg. 10 Calif. ST. Bk.155 I Joaquin, CITY OF STOCKTON YEAR PAR. # PAR. # PAR. HIGHEST A.P.N. USED *Y*∃77∀ *₹ BIECHI'E* 4 MODOGOS NO AND SELLA 2 2 2 2 2 2 23 (3) (39) 25.00 82-83 84-85 86-85 11-12 22 Assessor's County of 141.26° (8) 28 (2) 2 22 STREET THIS MAP IS FOR ASSESSMENT USE ONLY STREET 95 H (2) (3) 21 8 27 (A) 28 (3) (3) 20 19 28 E.S. (8) 1 48 48 8 R (8) (4) (8) 18 11 (3) 23 22 (3) 2 16 (3) (2) 25 22 (23) 15 (3) 2 S Sale 3 (S) = 2 8 × (2) (3) \mathbb{E} IS 2 20 ENCENIA (S) SETHI (9) = 2 2 2 6 (28) (a) 5 11.26 (F) a ag (60) 28 g (8) \$ (2) (8) 60 8 037 Pg. 9711 \$ (0) 90 EK. (EZ) 3 (0) (6) R. W. (h) h \$ 4 ➂ \$ 64 (2) 2 4 \$ \$ (6) 8 061 YAM 9.000 NOSTIM BK. 151 151

Levitt Appraisal Service LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Street					
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client Sal	as Financial	Address	9320 Chesapeake	Drive Suite 1	16, San Diego,	CA 92123



File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address1547 E. Anderson StreetCityStocktonCountySan JoaquinStateCAZip Code95205Lender/ClientSalas FinancialAddress9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 1547 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson S	Street					
City Stockton		County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client	Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesap	eake Drive Su	ite 116, San Die	ego, CA 92123



Street scene opposite direction



Signs of previous roof repair



Side view of subject

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson	Street					
City Stockton		County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client	Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesape	eake Drive Su	ite 116, San Die	ego, CA 92123



Additional rear view of subject



Side view of subject



Area of damaged fascia board at rear of subject

Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800-622-8727

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address1547 E. Anderson StreetCityStocktonCountySan JoaquinStateCAZip Code95205Lender/ClientSalas FinancialAddress9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



Kitchen



Dining Area




Living Room

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Street					
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client S	Salas Financial	Address	9320 Chesan	eake Drive Si	ite 116 San Die	ego CA 92123



Bedroom



Bedroom



Bathroom


File No. **17-0198** Case No.

 Borrower
 Ortegon, Martin R & May D


 Property Address
 1547 E. Anderson Street

 City
 Stockton
 County
 San Joaquin
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 95205

 Lender/Client
 Salas Financial
 Address
 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



Bedroom



File No. **17-0198**

Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Street					
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client Sal	as Financial	Address	9320 Chesapea	ake Drive Suit	e 116, San Dieg	o, CA 92123



COMPARABLE SALE # 1540 E. Worth Street Stockton, CA 95205



COMPARABLE SALE # 1539 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205



COMPARABLE SALE # 3 1720 Milton Street Stockton, CA 95205

17-0198 File No.

Case No.

Ortegon, Martin R & May D Borrower 1547 E. Anderson Street Property Address City Stockton County San Joaquin State CA Zip Code 95205 Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



COMPARABLE SALE# 4 1341 E. Anderson Street Stockton, CA 95205

COMPARABLE SALE #

COMPARABLE SALE #

SINGLE FAMILY COMPARABLE RENT SCHEDULE

File No. 17-01

Case No.


This form is intended to provide the appraiser with a familiar format to estimate the market rent of the subject property. Adjustments should be made only for items of significant difference between the comparables and the subject property. COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 3 ITFM **SUBJECT** COMPARABLE NO. 2 1547 E. Anderson Street 433 S. Golden Gate Avenue 823 S. Filbert Street 2458 E. Scotts Avenue Address Stockton, CA 95205 Stockton, CA 95205 Stockton, CA 95205 Stockton, CA 95205 1.40 miles NE 0.97 miles NE 0.99 miles E Proximity to Subject Date Lease Begins Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly Date Lease Expires Monthly Rental If Currently Rented \$ 900 850 800 900 Less: Utilities \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 Furniture \$ \$ \$ \$ Adjusted \$ \$ 900 \$ 900 \$ 850 800 Monthly Rent Data Source Inspection MLS# 17031684 MLS# 17029578 MLS# 17021714 **Public Records/NDC Data NDC Data Public Records/NDC Data Public Records/NDC Data** DESCRIPTION **RENT ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION** DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment None None None Rent Concessions Nil Location Average/RRYard Average/Access St Average/Access St Average/RRTracks Typ. Residential Typ. Residential Typ. Residential Typ. Residential View Design Ranch Ranch Ranch Ranch Appeal 64 36 Nil 58 Nil 55 Nil Age Nil Average Average Nil Inferior 100 Condition Average Above Grade Total Bdrms Baths Total Bdrms Baths +100 Total Bdrms Baths Nil Total Bdrms Baths Nil Room Count 3 1.00 4 2 1.00 Nil 5 3 1.00 Nil 5__ 3 1.50 -50 Sq. Ft. Sq. Ft. Nil Nil Gross Living Area 999 1.149 Sq. Ft. Nil 972 1.029 Sq. Ft. Other (e.g., basement, **FAU/Central** FAU/Central Wall/None +100 FAU/Central Nil Nil etc.) 1 Car Garage 2 Car Garage 2 Car Garage 1 Car Garage Other: Nil Net Adj. (total) X + 50 + X - \$ -50 + X - \$ -50 Indicated Monthly Net= 6% Net=-6% Net= -6% Gross= 17% 950 Gross= 6% 800 Gross= 31% 750 Market Rent \$ \$ Comments on market data, including the range of rents for single family properties, an estimate of vacancy for single family rental properties, the general trend of rents and vacancy, and support for the above adjustments. (Rent concessions should be adjusted to the market, not to the subject property.) All comparable rentals have been adjusted for their significant differences. Market data for the rent survey was obtained through the property management companies, local MLS service and online data sources. Subject's adjusted range of rents run from \$750 to \$950 per month depending on condition, bedroom and bathroom count and garage space. The estimated vacancy rate for the subject's market area averages between 3% to 5%. Rental trends in the subject's market area is considered to be strong and should remain strong for the next twelve month period. All rentals are of similar market appeal. Due to the lack of available rental data for similar homes within the subject's immediate neighborhood, it was necessary to include rentals located in excess of a one mile radius from the subject property. Final Reconciliation of Market Rent: All comparable rentals are located within the subject's market area and have been adjusted for their significant differences. At the time of inspection the subject property was currently occupied and generating \$900 rental income, which, is within the typical range of rents for this market area. Therefore, rents have been reconciled to the subject's actual rent of \$900 per month. 07/25/2017 900 I (WE) ESTIMATE THE MONTHLY MARKET RENT OF THE SUBJECT AS OF TO BE \$ Appraiser(s) Supervisory Appraiser **SIGNATURE SIGNATURE** NAME Gregory L. Levitt (If applicable) Date Property inspected 07/25/2017 Report Signed 08/02/2017 Date Property inspected_ Report Signed State Certification or License # AL031586 State CA State Certification or License # State CA 08/14/2019 Expiration Date of License or Certification Expiration Date of License or Certification

Levitt Appraisal Service RENTALS LOCATION MAP

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Stre	et				
City Stockton	Count	y San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client S	alas Financial	Addres	s 9320 Chesa	peake Drive Suit	te 116, San Die	go, CA 92123



File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address	1547 E. Anderson Street					
City Stockton	County	San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205
Lender/Client Sa	ılas Financial	Address	9320 Chesapea	ake Drive Suit	e 116, San Dieg	jo, CA 92123



COMPARABLE RENTALS # 433 S. Golden Gate Avenue Stockton, CA 95205



COMPARABLE RENTALS # 2 823 S. Filbert Street Stockton, CA 95205



COMPARABLE RENTALS # 3 2458 E. Scotts Avenue Stockton, CA 95205

Levitt Appraisal Service

MULTI PURPOSE SUPPLEMENTAL ADDENDUM FOR FEDERALLY RELATED TRANSACTIONS

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower/Client Ortegon, Martin R &	May D							
Property Address 1547 E. Anderson	Street							
City Stockton	County		San Joaquin	State	CA	Zip Code	95205	
Lender Salas Financial	·	Address	9320 Chesapeake Dri	ve Suite 116	3, San	Diego, CA 92	2123	_

This Multi-Purpose Supplemental Addendum for Federally Related Transactions was designed to provide the appraiser with a convenient way to comply with the current appraisal standards and requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Office of the Controller of Currency (OCC), The Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) and the Federal Reserve.

This Multi Dumass Supplement Addendary in factors with any appropriate Only these
This Multi-Purpose Supplement Addendum is for use with any appraisal. Only those statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PURPOSE & FUNCTION OF APPRAISAL
The purpose of the appraisal is to estimate the market value of the subject property as defined herein. The function of the appraisal is to assist the above-named Lender in evaluating the subject property for lending purposes. This is a Federally related transaction.
X EXTENT OF APPRAISAL PROCESS
X The appraisal is based on the information gathered by the appraiser from public records, other identified sources, inspection of the subject property and neighborhood, and selection of comparable sales within the subject market area. The original source of the comparables is shown in the Data Source section of the market grid along with the source of confirmation, if available. The original source is present first. The sources and data are considered reliable. When conflicting information was provided, the source deemed most reliable has been used. Data believed to be unreliable was not included in the report nor used as a basis for the value conclusion.
X The Reproduction Cost is based on Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services supplemented by the appraiser's knowledge of the local market.
X Physical depreciation is based on the estimated effective age of the subject property. Functional and/or external depreciation, if present, is specifically addressed in the appraisal report or other addenda. In estimating the site value, the appraiser has relied on personal knowledge of the local market. The knowledge is based on prior/or current analysis of site sales and/or abstractions of site values from sales of improved properties.
 X The subject property is located in an area of primarily owner-occupied single family residences and the Income Approach is not considered to be meaningful. For this reason, the Income Approach was not used. The Estimated Market Rent and Gross Rent Multiplier utilized in the Income Approach are based on the appraiser's knowledge of the subject market area. The rental knowledge is based on prior and/or current rate surveys of residential properties. The Gross Rent
Multiplier is based on prior and/or current analysis of prices and market rates for residential properties.
For income producing properties, actual rents, vacancies and expenses have been reported and analyzed. They have been used to project future rents, vacancies and expenses.
X SUBJECT PROPERTY OFFERING INFORMATION
According to the local MLS service the subject property: X has not been offered for sale in the past 30 days. is currently offered for sale for \$ was offered for sale within the past 30 days for \$ Offering information of value. Offering information of value.
X SALE HISTORY OF SUBJECT PROPERTY
According to Public Records (NDC Data) the subject property: has not transferred in the past twelve months. has transferred in the past twelve months. All prior sales which have occurred in the past months are listed below and reconciled to the appraised value, either in the body of the report or in the addenda. Date Sales Price Document # Seller Buyer
X FEMA FLOOD HAZARD DATA
X Subject property is not located in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area. Subject property is located in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area. Zone FEMA Map/Panel# Map Date Name of Community X 06077C-0460F 10/16/2009 City of Stockton
The community does not participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. X The community does participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. X It is covered by a regular program. It is covered by an emergency program.

17-0198 File No.

Case No.

X CURRENT SALES CONTRACT							
 The subject property is currently not under contract. The contract and/or escrow instructions were not available for review. The unavailability of the contract is explained later in the addenda section. 							
The contract and/or escrow instructions were reviewed. The following summarizes the contract:							
Contract Date Amendment Date Contract Price Seller							
The contract indicated that personal property was not included in the sale. The contract indicated that personal property was included. It consisted of Estimated contributory value is \$							
Personal property was not included in the final value estimate. Personal property was included in the final value estimate. The contract indicated no financing concessions or other incentives. The contract indicated the following concessions or incentives:							
If concessions or incentives exist, the comparables were checked for similar concessions and appropriate adjustments were made, i applicable, so that the final value conclusion is in compliance with the Market Value defined herein.							
MARKET OVERVIEW Include an explanation of current market conditions and trends.							
0-3 month(s) is considered a reasonable marketing period for the subject property based on MLS statistical data spanning the previous twelve months.							
X ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATION							
The Appraiser certifies and agrees that: (1) Their analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report was prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards on Profressional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP"), and in accordance with the regulations developed by the Lender's Federal Regulatory Agency as required by FIRREA, except that the Department Provisions of the USPAP do not apply. (2) Their compensation is not contingent upon the reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurence of a subsequent event. (3) This appraisal assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.							
X ADDITIONAL (ENVIRONMENTAL) LIMITING CONDITIONS							
The value estimated is based on the assumption that the property is not negatively affected by the existence of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions unless otherwise stated in this report. The appraiser is not an expert in the identification of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser's routine inspection of and inquires about the subject property did not develop any information that indicated any apparent significant hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions which would affect the property negatively unless otherwise stated in this report. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified hazardous substance and environmental expert would reveal the existence of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its value.							
X ADDITIONAL COMMENTS							
None O							
X APPRAISER'S SIGNATURE & LICENSE/CERTIFICATION							
Appraiser's Signature Appraiser's Name (print) Gregory L. Levitt Phone # (209) 603-2023 State CA X License Certification # AL031586 Tax ID # 547376745							
CO-SIGNING APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION							
The co-signing appraiser has personally inspected the subject property, both inside and out, and has made an exterior inspection of all comparable sales listed in the report. The report was prepared by the appraiser under direct supervision of the co-signing appraiser. The co-signing appraiser accepts responsibility for the contents of the report including the value conclusion and the limiting conditions, and confirms that the certifications apply fully to the co-signing appraiser.							
the co-signing appraiser has not personally inspected the interior of the subject property and: has not inspected the exterior of the subject property and all comparable sales listed in the report. has inspected the exterior of the subject property and all comparable sales listed in the report. The report was prepared by the appraiser under direct supervision of the co-signing appraiser. The co-signing appraiser accepts responsibility for the contents of the report, including the value conclusions and the limiting conditions, and confirms that the certifications apply fully to the co-signing appraiser with the exception of the certification regarding physical inspections. The above describes the level of inspection performed by the co-signing appraiser.							
The co-signing appraiser's level of inspection, involvement in the appraisal process and certification are covered elsewhere in the addenda section of this appraisal.							
CO-SIGNING APPRAISER'S SIGNATURE & LICENSE/CERTIFICATION							
Co-Signing Appraiser's Signature Effective Date 07/25/2017 Date Prepared							
Co-Signing Appraiser's Name (print) Phone # () State Certification # Trainee Tax ID #							

File No. **17-0198** Case No.

Borrower Ortegon, Martin R & May D

Property Address 1547 E. Anderson Street

CityStocktonCountySan JoaquinStateCAZip Code95205Lender/ClientSalas FinancialAddress9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123

BREA APPRAISER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: Certification Law, California and is, therefore, entitled to use the title: has successfully met the requirements for a license as a residential real estate appraiser in the State of This license has been issued in accordance with the provisions of the Real Estate Appraisers' Licensing and REAL ESTATE APPRAISER LICENSE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency "Residential Real Estate Appraiser" Gregory L. Levitt AL 031586 Date Expires: Effective Date: up Martin, Bureau Chief, BREA August 15, 2017 August 14, 2019