APPRAISAL REPORT OF



758 S. S Street Tulare, CA 93274

PREPARED FOR

Maria Salas Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

AS OF

02/02/2022

PREPARED BY

Levitt Appraisal Service 3715 Portsmouth Circle South Stockton, CA 95219

02/09/2022

Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

RE: Evans, Charlie III

758 S. S Street Tulare, CA 93274

File No. **22-0029**

Case No.

Dear Maria,

In accordance with your request, I have personally inspected and prepared an appraisal report of the real property located at:

758 S. S Street, Tulare, CA 93274

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the property described in the body of this appraisal report.

Enclosed, please find the appraisal report which describes certain data gathered during our investigation of the property. The methods of approach and reasoning in the valuation of the various physical and economic factors of the subject property are contained in this report.

An inspection of the property and a study of pertinent factors, including valuation trends and an analysis of neighborhood data, led the appraiser to the conclusion that the market value, as of **02/02/2022** is:

\$ 175,000

The opinion of value expressed in this report is contingent upon the limiting conditions attached to this report.

It has been a pleasure to assist you. If I may be of further service to you in the future, please let me know.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature:

Gregory L. Levitt AL031586

INVOICE

Date: 02/09/2022 File No. 22-0029

Case No.

Prepared for:

Maria Salas Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116 San Diego, CA 92123

Property Appraised:

Evans, Charlie III 758 S. S Street Tulare, CA 93274

Work Performed:

Appraisal	\$_	500.00
Paid	\$_	-500.00
	\$_	
	\$_	
	\$	
	\$_	
	Total Amount Due: \$	0.00

Please make checks payable to:

Levitt Appraisal Service 3715 Portsmouth Circle South Stockton, CA 95219

Ар	praisal Report	File No. Case No.	22-0029
_	Uniform Residential Appraisal Report		
	The purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of this appraisal report is to provide the lender/client with an accurate, and adequately supported, opinion of the management of the purpose of th	arket value of the subje State CA Zip	
	Borrower Evans, Charlie III Owner of Public Record Evans, Charlie III	County	Tulare
	Legal Description Lot 16, Por. Block 9, Por. Lincoln Tract	County	1 0.10.10
		21 R.E. Taxes	
ECT	Neighborhood Name Lincoln Tract Map Reference Thomas Br		
E	Occupant Owner X Tenant Vacant Special Assessments 0 PUD HOA \$ Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe)	0	per year per month
SUBJ	Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple Leasehold Other (describe) Assignment Type Purchase Transaction X Refinance Transaction Other (describe)		
	Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suit	e 116, San Diego,	CA 92123
	Is the subject property currently offered for sale or has it been offered for sale in the twelve months prior to the effective date of	f this appraisal?	Yes X No
	Report data source(s) used, offerings price(s), and date(s). The data source is Realtor.com		
	I did did not analyze the contract for sale for the subject purchase transaction. Explain the results of the analysis o	f the contract for sale or	r why the analysis was not
_	performed.	Tario contract for care of	my are analysis was not
CONTRACT			
품	Contract Price \$ Date of Contract		
NO NO	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale concessions, gift or down payment assistance, etc.) to be paid by any part If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.	ty on behalf of the borro	wer? Yes No
Ö	in res, report the total dollar amount and describe the items to be paid.		
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the neighborhood are not appraisal factors.	0 11 1111 1	-
	Neighborhood Characteristics One-Unit Housing Trends Location Urban X Suburban Rural Property Values X Increasing Stable Declining	One-Unit Housing PRICE AGE	Present Land Use % One-Unit 88 %
٥	Built-Up X Over 75% 25-75% Under 25% Demand/Supply Shortage X In Balance Over Supply	\$ (000) (yrs)	2-4 Unit 1 %
OOD	Growth Rapid X Stable Slow Marketing Time Under 3 mths X 3-6 mths Over 6 mths	20 Low 15	Multi-Family 1 %
SRH.	Neighborhood Boundaries Cross Avenue to the north, Mooney Boulevard to the east, Paige Avenue to the south and	555 High 95	Commercial 10 %
單	West Street to the west. Neighborhood Description ***Please see Comment Addendum for comments on Neighborhood Description***	290 Pred. 55	Other %
NEIGHBO	Neighborhood Description Thease see Comment Addendam for Comments on Neighborhood Description		
Z			
	Market Conditions (including support for the above conclusions) ***Please see Comment Addendum for comments on N	Market Conditions***	
	Dimensions 50 x 150 (See Plat Map) Area 7500 sf Shape Rectange		Typ. Residential
		000 sf minimum p	arcel size
	Zoning Compliance X Legal Legal Nonconforming (Grandfathered Use) No Zoning Illegal (describe) Is the highest and best use of subject property as improved (or as proposed per plans and specifications) the present use?	Yes No If No, o	describe.
ш	Utilities Public Other (describe) Off-site Impropries Electricity X Water X Street	ovementsType Asphalt	Public Private
SITE	Gas X Sanitary Sewer X Alley	Asphalt	X
	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X No FEMA Flood Zone X FEMA Map # 06107C-12	275E FEMA Map D	ate 06/16/2009
	Are the utilities and/or off-site improvements typical for the market area? X Yes No If No, describe.		(A) 1
	Are there any adverse site conditions or external factors (easements, encroachments, environmental conditions, land uses, etc ***Please see Comment Addendum for comments on Subject Site***	.)? Yes 🗡 NO I	f Yes, describe.
	Tisass see Somment Addendam for Somments on Subject Site		
		1	
	General Description Foundation Exterior Description materials/c Units X One One with Accessory Unit Concrete Slab X Crawl Space Foundation Walls Conc.Perim.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	materials/condition WdLam/Crpt/Vinyl-Avg.
	# of Stories One Full Basement Partial Basement Exterior Walls Wood-Av		Drywall-Avg.
	Type X Det. Att. S-Det./End Unit Basement Area 0 sq. ft. Roof Surface Composition		Wood/Paint-Avg.
	X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basement Finish 0 % Gutters & Downspouts Non		Vinyl-Avg.
	Design (Style) Ranch Outside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Window Type Double Hung Year Built 1971 Evidence of Infestation None Noted Storm Sash/Insulated None/No		
	Year Built 1971 Evidence of Infestation None Noted Storm Sash/Insulated None/No Effective Age (Yrs) 45 Dampness Settlement Screens Mesh-Avg		ray # of Cars 1
	Attic None Heating FWA HWBB Radiant Amenities Woodstove		•
		Wood X Garage	
က		overed Carport	
K	Finished Heated I Individual Other I Pool None Other Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven Dishwasher Disposal Microwave Washer/Dryer X Other (de		X Det. Built-in Counters - Formica
EMENTS	·	Feet of Gross Living A	
0	Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc.) The subject features a covered porch, central heat and air, ceilin	g fans, updated wood	laminate floor coverings,
MPR	concrete patio and a detached one car garage.		
≧	Describe the condition of the property (including needed repairs, deterioration, renovations, remodeling, etc.). ***Please se Condition of Improvements***	e Comment Addendu	iii ioi comments on
	·		
	Are there any physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the prope	erty? Yes X No	If Yes, describe
	The appraiser has not been provided with any documentation revealing any physical deficiencies and has reported only		
	See Limiting Condition #5.		

Does the property generally conform to the neighborhood (functional utility, style, condition, use, construction, etc.)? X Yes No If No, describe

Levitt Appraisal Service 22-0029 File No. Case No. **Uniform Residential Appraisal Report** There are comparable properties currently offered for sale in the subject neighborhood ranging in price from \$ to\$ There are comparable sales in the subject neighborhood within the past twelve months ranging in sale price from \$ COMPARABLE SALE #3 **FEATURE** COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE # 2 758 S. S Street Address 785 S. S Street 752 S. T Street 769 E. King Avenue Tulare, CA 93274 Tulare, CA 93274 Tulare, CA 93274 Tulare, CA 93274 Proximity to Subject 0.04 miles W 0.07 miles E 0.75 miles NW 185,000 180,000 175,000 Sale Price \$ 0.00 155.20 203.39 138.01 Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area \$ sq. ft. \$ sq. ft. sq. ft. sq. ft. Realtor.com Realtor.com Realtor.com Data Source(s) Parcelquest/DOC#89851 Parcelquest/DOC#64675 Parcelquest/DOC#82002 Verification Source(s) VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment +(-) \$ Adjustment Sale or Financing Conv;ArmLth Conv;ArmLth Conv;ArmLth 0 L/P \$210,000/\$0 0 L/P \$180,000/\$0 0 L/P \$175,000/\$0 Concessions 0 12/09/2021 COE 0 09/02/2021 COE 12/15/2021 COE Date of Sale/Time Average/School Average/School 0 Location Average **Average** Leasehold/Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple Fee Simple 7500 sf 7470 sf 7778 sf 0 7004 sf Site Typ. Residential Typ. Residential Typ. Residential View Typ. Residential Ranch Ranch Ranch Ranch Design (Style) Quality of Construction **Average Average Average Average** -1,600 -1,900 +4,800 51 35 32 99 Actual Age Condition Average Average **Average** Average -3,000 Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Total Bdrms. Baths Above Grade Total Bdrms Baths Room Count 2 1.0 3 1.1 -1.500 1.0 2 1.0 -5,000 1.015 885 +4.000 1.268 -8,000 **Gross Living Area** 1.192 None None None None Basement & Finished Rooms Below Grade None None None None **COMPARISON ANALYSIS Functional Utility Average** Average Average Average FAU/Central FAU/Central FAU/Central Wall/None +3,000 Heating/Cooling -3.000 -3,000 None **Dual Panes Dual Panes** Energy Efficient Items None -3,000 1 Car Garage -3,000 Garage/Carport 2 Car Garage 2 Car Garage 2 Car Garage -3,000 Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Porch/Patio Porch/Patio/Deck + X --14,100 + X --3,900 + X -6,200 Net Adjustment (Total) Net Adj: -2% Adjusted Sale Price Net Adi: -8% Net Adj: -4% SALES 168,800 of Comparables Gross Adj: 8% 170,900 Gross Adj: 7% 176,100 Gross Adj: 12% I X did did not research the sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales. If not, explain did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal. My research Data source(s) Public Records/Parcelquest did X did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale. Data source(s) Public Records/Parcelquest Report the results of the research and analysis of the prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales (report additional prior sales on page 3). ITFM SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 1 COMPARABLE SALE#2 COMPARABLE SALE #3 Date of Prior Sale/Transfer N/A N/A N/A N/A \$ N/A \$ N/A \$ N/A Price of Prior Sale/Transfer \$ N/A **Parcelquest Parcelquest Parcelquest Parcelquest** Data Source(s) 02/02/2022 02/02/2022 02/02/2022 02/02/2022 Effective Date of Data Source(s) Analysis of prior sale or transfer history of the subject property and comparable sales The subject property has not transferred ownership within the previous thirty six months. Please see the attached MPA addendum. None of the comparables transferred ownership within the previous twelve months

Please see Comment Addendum for comments on Sales Comparison Approach Summary of Sales Comparison Approach

175,000 Indicated Value by Sales Comparison Approach \$

175,000 Cost Approach (if developed) \$ 182,912 Income Approach (if developed) \$ Indicated Value by: Sales Comparison Approach \$

The sales comparison approach is given the most weight as it most truly reflects the actions of buyers & sellers in the real estate market. The cost approach is supportive of the sales comparison approach. The income approach is not required and given little weight, as residential properties in

this area are not typically utilized for their income producing potential.

This appraisal is made X "as is," subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, ____ subject to the following repairs or alterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or ____ subject to the following required inspection based on the extraordinary assumption that the condition or deficiency does not require alteration or repair: This appraisal is made "as-is". This

is an Appraisal Report. Please see Comment Addendum for additional comments. The electronic signature is the same as the live signature. Based on a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, defined scope of work, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and appraiser's certification, my (our) opinion of the market value, as defined, of the real property that is the subject of this report is

, which is the date of inspection and the effective date of this appraisal.

175,000

File No. **22-0029**

Case No. **Uniform Residential Appraisal Report**

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
"					
Z					
Z					
≥					
<u>S</u>					
9					
ပ					
亅					
\$					
A O O					
=					
7					
	COST ADDDOACH TO VALUE	(not required by Earnie	Mac \		
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE		Mae.)		
	COST APPROACH TO VALUE Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca		Mae.)		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca	Iculations.	•	that the ann	raiser was
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	lculations.	It should be noted		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	lculations.	It should be noted		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous	lculations.	It should be noted		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth	lculations.	It should be noted		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other meth unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous	lculations.	It should be noted		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value.	lculations. lods for estimating site value) ous twelve month period,	It should be noted therefore, the appra	aiser was for	ced to use the
ACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metronable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW	lculations. lods for estimating site value) bus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the appra	aiser was ford	75,000
OACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value.	lculations. lods for estimating site value) ous twelve month period,	It should be noted therefore, the appra	aiser was ford	ced to use the
ROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cae Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrounable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services	lculations. lods for estimating site value) bus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the appra	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000
PROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022	lculations. lods for estimating site value) bus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the appra	aiser was ford	75,000
APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and cae Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other metrounable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services	lculations. lods for estimating site value) bus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the appra	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000
APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	loulations. lods for estimating site value) ous twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015	It should be noted therefore, the approximately the second	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400
APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost	loulations. lods for estimating site value) pus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228	It should be noted therefore, the apprairs therefore, the apprairs Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120
OST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	loulations. lods for estimating site value) pus twelve month period, OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228	It should be noted therefore, the apprairs	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$.0 =\$	75,000 162,400
APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new	It should be noted therefore, the approximately the sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40	It should be noted therefore, the apprairs Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40 Functional 0 Externs	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$.0 =\$ -\$ al 0	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40	It should be noted therefore, the apprairs Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40 Functional 0 Externs	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608	It should be noted therefore, the approximate the state of the state o	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv	It should be noted therefore, the approximate the state of the state o	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608	It should be noted therefore, the approximate the state of the state o	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and care Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv	It should be noted therefore, the approximate the state of the state o	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv	It should be noted therefore, the apprair to the	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$ al 0 0 =\$ -\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the approach to the apprair to the approach to the appro	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912
COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the approach to the apprair to the approach to the appro	=\$ 0.0 =\$ -\$ al 0 0 =\$ -\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
E COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted to s	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the apprair to the approach to the apprair to the approach to the appro	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted to s	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 1,015 Garage/Carport 228 Total Estimate of Cost-new Less Physical 40 Depreciation 68,608 Depreciated Cost of Improv "As-is" Value of Site Improv Indicated Value By Cost Ap	It should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted therefore, the approximation of the should be noted to s	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and case. Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method unable to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the approach should be noted therefore, the approach should be noted approach should be not	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the approach should be noted therefore, the approach should be noted approach should be not	=\$ 0.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.0 =\$.1 0 .1 =\$.2 =\$.3 =\$.3 =\$.4 =\$.5	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair to the second s	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ (=\$ =\$ =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
OME COSI APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and casupport for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of phases Total number of units Total	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair therefore, the apprair to the approach to th	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40 Sq. Ft. & \$ 40 Sq. Ft.	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
ATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40 Sq. Ft. & \$ 40 Sq. Ft.	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
KMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach Sq. Ft. @ \$ 160 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 40 Sq. Ft. & \$ 40 Sq. Ft.	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
KMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach system of the special process of the special pro	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
FORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach system of the special process of the special pro	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
INFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach system of the special process of the special pro	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling	It should be noted therefore, the approach system of the special process of the special pro	=\$ 0.0 =\$ =\$ al 0 0 =\$ =\$ =\$ me Approach	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair service of completion.	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the approach system of the special process of the special pro	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
KMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair service of completion.	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair service of completion.	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowner's Association? Yes	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair service of completion.	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000
DINFORMATION INCOME COST APPROA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate your cost figures and ca Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other method to find any land sales similar to the subject spanning the previous land extraction method to estimate the site value. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services Quality rating from cost service Average Effective date of cost data 02/2022 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for square footage calculations. The cost figures were derived from the Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services and from local building contractor estimates. There is no functional or external obsolescence. The land to improvement ratio is typical of the area. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 50 Year INCOME APPROACH TO VALU Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ X Gross Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowner's Association (HOA)? Yes Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in control Legal Name of Project Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Data Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No Data source. Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes No Data source.	OPINION OF SITE VALUE	It should be noted therefore, the apprair service of completion.	=\$ 0.0 =\$	75,000 162,400 9,120 171,520 68,608 102,912 5,000

Levitt Appraisal Service EXTRA COMPARABLES 4-5-6

File No. **22-0029**

Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address 758 S. S Street

City Tulare County Tulare State CA Zip Code 93274

Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123

	FEATURE		SUBJECT		COMPAR	RABLE	SALE# 4	(COMPA	RABLE S	ALE #	* 5	C	OMPAF	RABLE SA	ALE#	6
		s. s s					venue E			Inyo Av							
			93274			•	33274			lare, CA							
		e, CA	33214														
	Proximity to Subject				0.5		s NW		1	1.27 mile					_		
	Sale Price	\$				\$	217,000			\$	_	06,000			\$		
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$	0.00 sq. ft.	\$	211.09) s	q. ft.	\$	220.		q. ft.		\$		S	q. ft.	
	Data Source(s)				Re	altor.	com		l	Realtor.	com	l					
	Verification Source(s)			Pu	blic Red	cords	/Parcelquest	Pu	blic R	ecords/	Parc	celquest					
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DE	SCRIPTION	DI	ESCRIPT	ION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIP	TION	+(-) 9	\$ Adjustment	DE	SCRIPT	ΓΙΟΝ	+(-) \$ A	djustment
	Sale or Financing				Listing		0		Listin			0				1,4,,	<u> </u>
	Concessions			0/1	/P \$229		0	0/1		06,000		0					
							0			-		0					
	Date of Sale/Time	A .	(0.1)		26/2022					21 L/D		. = 000					
	Location		rage/School		Averag		0			d/Cmrcl		+5,000					
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	F	ee Simple	F	ee Simp	ole		F	ee Sir								
	Site		7500 sf		7517 st	f	0		6250	sf		0					
	View	Тур.	Residential	Тур	. Reside	ential		Тур	Resi	dential							
	Design (Style)		Ranch		Ranch				Rand	ch							
	Quality of Construction		Average		Averag	e			Avera	ıae							
	Actual Age		51		102		+5,100		101			+5,000					
	Condition		Average		Averag		3,100		Avera								
	Above Grade		Bdrms. Baths			Baths			Bdrms				Total	Bdrms.	Baths		
							_						rotal	burins.	Dains		
	Room Count	6	2 1.0	4	2	1.0	0	4	2	1.0		0		ш	<u> </u>		
	Gross Living Area	1	,015 sq. ft	1	,028	sq. ft.	0		936	sq. ft.		0			sq. ft.		
	Basement & Finished		None		None				Non	-							
	Rooms Below Grade		None		None				Non	е							
	Functional Utility		Average		Average	е			Avera	ige							
ဟ	Heating/Cooling	F/	AU/Central	F	AU/Cent	tral		W	all/Sw	/amp		+3,000					
YSIS	Energy Efficient Items		None	D	ual Pan	es	-3,000		ual Pa			-3,000					
	Garage/Carport	10	Car Garage		Car Gar		-3,000		Non	е		+3,000					
PARISON ANAL	Porch/Patio/Deck		orch/Patio		orch/Pa		2,000		orch/F			-,,,,,,					
⋖	1 OTOTAL ALIO/DECK		31011/1 4110	<u> </u>	0101111 u				01011/1	uno							
S																	
<u>8</u>																	
2										1	_						
7	Net Adjustment (Total)			Ш	+ X	-	\$ -900	X			\$	13,000		+ -	-	\$	
COM	Adjusted Sale Price			Net A	Adj: 0%			Net A	dj: 6%	o .			Net A	.dj: 0%	,		
	of Comparables			Gros	s Adj : 5	%	\$ 216,100	Gross	s Adj:	9%	\$	219,000	Gross	s Adj: ()%	\$	
ES																	
	Report the results of the r	esearch	n and analysis of	the pric	or sale or	transfe	r history of the sub	ject pro	perty a	nd compa	rable	sales					
SAL	ITEM		SU	BJECT			COMPARABLE SA	LE#	4	COMPA	ARAB	LE SALE#	5	CON	//PARABL	E SALE	# 6
	Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	er		N/A			N/A					N/A					
	Price of Prior Sale/Transfe			N/A			\$ N/A					N/A					
	Data Source(s)	<u> </u>		elque	et		Parcelque	·									
	Effective Date of Data Sou	.roo(a)		2/202				-									
									2 02/02/2022 ubject property has not transferre			ما ما ما			41		
	thirty six months. Ple	ease s	ee the attach	ed MP	'A adder	ndum.	None of the co	ompai	ables	transfer	red o	ownership	within	the pr	evious	twelve	months.
	Summary of Sales Compa	ricon A	nnroach Comr	arable	oc #4 on	d #5 c	ro a pondina ca	do and	d an a	ctivo licti	na fr	om within t	ho cul	hioct's	market	area th	at have
	been included to help																
		supp	ort trie opinio	II OI Va	alue Stat	eu wii	unin uns report.	Com	parabi	165 #4 al	iu #c	o nave bee	ii auju	isted i	or trieir	Signific	anı
	differences.																

Levitt Appraisal Service COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address 758 S. S Street								
City Tulare	County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274		
Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123								

COMMENTS ON NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION:

The subject is located within an established neighborhood of the City of Tulare and across the street from Lincoln Elementary School. The area consists predominately of single family dwellings of various styles, including single and two story, with various floor plan styles, and bedroom and bathroom counts. The subject is of the same general quality and condition for the area. In addition to SFR's there are some 2-4 family, multi-family and commercial properties interspersed throughout the area. All local amenities are in close proximity and the subject has easy access to Highway 99.

COMMENTS ON MARKETING CONDITIONS:

The area has had moderate sales volume with values increasing in all price ranges over the previous twelve months. This market is a mix of traditional sales, REO sales and short sale properties with traditional sales currently the dominate factor for the area. Current interest rates remain near historic lows with financing readily available. Currently supply and demand for single family homes appear to be in balance.

As of the effective date, the short and long-term impact on the market from the COVID-19 virus is unknown; however, as stay at home restrictions have been lifted, marketing times appear to have remained stable (between 30 - 120 days when properly listed) and market activity appears steady. These conditions have been taken into consideration with regards to the estimate of reasonable exposure time. At this time, restrictions on showings allow for one agent and two clients per viewing, however, this does not appear to have had a delay in market activity, and no significant long-term shift in demand or supply has been noted that would result in a change in market prices.

The state of California has recently experienced catastrophic wildfires, however, the subject and surrounding area have not been physically affected. This does not appear to have had any negative impact on values or marketability.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE:

The existing use supports the four functions of Highest and Best Use both as vacant and as improved. The current use is physically possible, legally permissible, financially feasible and is the most productive use of the site. Any change now or in the foreseeable future is highly unlikely.

COMMENTS ON SUBJECT SITE:

There are no adverse conditions known or observed. The subject is a typical interior parcel for this area. The appraiser was not provided with a copy of the preliminary title report, and therefore, cannot guarantee that property is free of encroachments or easements, and recommends further investigation and survey. There is no external obsolescence noted. The subject is located across the street from a neighborhood elementary school, however, this does not appear to have any negative impact on value or marketability and location adjustments were not considered to be warranted.

COMMENTS ON CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS:

The subject is of average quality construction and in average overall condition, with no significant deferred maintenance observed. There is no functional obsolescence noted. All utilities (i.e. water, gas and electric) were on and appeared functional. All kitchen cabinetry and appliances were present and appeared functional. At the time of inspection, the subject's exterior paint was nearing the end of its effective life with areas of cracking, peeling, and exposed wood (see photo addendum). The subject features updated wood laminate floor coverings.

COMMENTS ON SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:

All comparables are from within the subject's market area and have been adjusted for their significant differences. After bracketing the subject property, all comparables required adjustments to reflect their significant differences in age (@ \$100/yr for differences in excess of 15/yrs) and to reflect their differences in garage space (@ \$3,000 per space). Comparables #2, #3, #4 ad #5 required adjustments to reflect the subject's lack of dual pane windows (@ \$3,000 for full dual panes and \$1,500 for partial dual panes). After bracketing the subject, comparables #1, #2 and #3 required adjustments to reflect their significant differences in GLA square footage (@ \$30/sf for differences in excess of 100/sf). Comparables #3 and #4 required adjustments to reflect their lack of central heat and air (@ #3,000). Comparable #1 required adjustments to reflect its superior bedroom and bathroom count (@ \$3,000 per bedroom and \$3,000 per full bathroom). Comparable #5 required an adjustment to reflect its inferior location on a busy street and neighboring a commercial property (@ \$5,000). Comparable #5 is located in excess of a one mile radius from the subject, however, has been included due to the lack of available pending sales and/or active listings more similar overall tot he subject. Adjustments are based on current and/or historic paired sales, when available and/or applicable, or based on the appraiser's knowledge of market reaction to differences in amenity within this market area. After making all the necessary adjustments to all comparables, these comparables are considered to be the most recent, most similar, and best indicators of current market value. After adjustments, equal consideration in the final opinion of value was given to comparable sales #1 and #2 due to their proximity to the subject and overall similarities. Comparable #1 is located across the street from the subject. The opinion of value stated within this report is below the predominate value for the area, however, is within the typical value range

MLS photographs of the comparable properties have been utilized within this report

COMMENTS ON EXPOSURE TIME:

An opinion, based on supporting market data, of the length of time that the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal. The appraiser has determined the subject property would have to be exposed for 30 - 120 days in order to have a market value range of \$165,000 - \$210,000 on the effective date of this appraisal.

Levitt Appraisal Service COMMENT ADDENDUM

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address 758 S. S Street								
City Tulare	County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274		
Lender/Client Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123								

INTENDED USER:

Clarification of Intended User - (Certification #23) The Intended User of this appraisal report is the Lender/Client (Salas Financial). The Intended Use is to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction, subject to the stated Scope of Work, purpose of the appraisal, reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, and Definition of Market Value. No additional Intended Users are identified by the appraiser.

DISCLOSURE OF PRIOR APPRAISAL AND/OR OTHER SERVICES:

In compliance with the ethics rule of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, I have not performed any prior services regarding the subject property, as an appraiser, or in any other capacity, within the 3 year period immediately preceding acceptance of this appraisal assignment. Furthermore, I certify that I do not have any current or prospective interest in the subject property or the parties involved.

This appraisal has been performed in accordance with the requirements of Title XI of the Financial Institution Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (U.S.C.3331 et seq.), and any implementing regulations.

Gregory L. Levitt Appraiser, AL031586

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005 Fannie Mae Form 1004 March 2005

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- 9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.


APPRAISER		\circ	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)
	1		
	_/	lands.	
Signature	880	3/1/1/1	Signature
Name	/	Gregory L. Levitt	Name
Company Name	(L	evitt Appraisal Service	Company Name
Company Address	371	5 Portsmouth Circle South	Company Address
		Stockton, CA 95219	
Telephone Number		(209) 603-2023	Telephone Number
Email Address		ttivelg@aol.com	Email Address
Date of Signature and	Report	02/09/2022	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appr		02/02/2022	State Certification #
State Certification # _			or State License #
or State License # _		AL031586	State
or Other (describe) _		State #	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State		CA	
Expiration Date of Cer	tification o	r License08/14/2023	
			SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPI	ERTY APF	PRAISED	
	758 5	S. S Street	Did not inspect subject property
	Tulare	e, CA 93274	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
			Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE	OF SUBJE	CT PROPERTY \$175,000	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT			Date of Inspection
Name		Maria Salas	
Company Name		Salas Financial	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address	9320	Chesapeake Drive Suite 116	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
_		San Diego, CA 92123	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address			Date of Inspection

Levitt Appraisal Service SKETCH ADDENDUM

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address	758 S. S Street						
City Tulare		County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274
Lender/Client Sa	alas Financial		Address	9320 Chesapea	ke Drive Suit	te 116, San Dieg	o, CA 92123



	SKETCH CALCULATIONS	Perimeter	Area
Living Area			
First Floor			1015.3
	Total Living Area		1015.3
Garage Area			
Detached Garage			228.0
	Total Garage Area		228.0
Porch Area			
Porch			105.0
Rear Porch			33.8
	Total Porch Area		138.8


22-0029 File No. Case No.

Evans, Charlie III Borrower

Lender/Client

758 S. S Street Property Address City Tulare Tulare State CA Zip Code 93274 County Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123

Address



Levitt Appraisal Service LOCATION MAP ADDENDUM

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address	758 S. S Street						
City Tulare		County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274
Lender/Client Sal	as Financial	·	Address	9320 Chesapeake	Drive Suite 1	16, San Diego,	CA 92123



22-0029 File No. Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address 758 S. S Street City Tulare County Tulare State CA Zip Code 93274

Salas Financial 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123 Lender/Client Address



FRONT OF SUBJECT PROPERTY 758 S. S Street

Tulare, CA 93274



REAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



STREET SCENE


File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

 Property Address
 758 S. S Street

 City
 Tulare
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 93274

 Lender/Client
 Salas Financial
 Address
 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



Street scene opposite direction



View of subject's corner location



Side view of subject

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Flobelly Address	730 3. 3 311661						
City Tulare		County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274
Lender/Client	Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesap	eake Drive Su	ite 116, San Die	ego, CA 92123



Side view of subject



Detached Garage



Side view of Garage

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III

Property Address	750 S. S Street						
City Tulare		County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274
Lender/Client	Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesap	eake Drive Su	ite 116, San Die	ego, CA 92123



Additional rear view of subject



Exterior paint nearing end of effective life




Alley to rear of subject

22-0029 File No. Case No.

Evans, Charlie III Borrower

Property Address 758 S. S Street City Tulare County Tulare


State CA Zip Code 93274
9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123 Salas Financial Lender/Client Address



Living Room



Dining Room



Bedroom

22-0029 File No. Case No.

Evans, Charlie III Borrower

758 S. S Street Property Address State CA Zip Code 93274
9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123 City Tulare County Tulare Salas Financial Lender/Client


Address



Bathroom



Bedroom



Laundry Room

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

 Borrower
 Evans, Charlie III

 Property Address
 758 S. S Street

 City
 Tulare
 State
 CA
 Zip Code
 93274

 Lender/Client
 Salas Financial
 Address
 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123



Kitchen




Additional view of Kitchen

22-0029 File No.

Case No.

Evans, Charlie III Borrower 758 S. S Street Property Address City Tulare County Tulare State CA Zip Code 93274 Salas Financial Address 9320 Chesapeake Drive Suite 116, San Diego, CA 92123 Lender/Client



COMPARABLE SALE# 785 S. S Street

Tulare, CA 93274



COMPARABLE SALE

752 S. T Street Tulare, CA 93274



COMPARABLE SALE


3

769 E. King Avenue Tulare, CA 93274

File No. **22-0029**

Case No.

Borrower Evans, Charlie III						
Property Address 758 S. S Street						
City Tulare	County	Tulare	State	CA	Zip Code	93274
Lender/Client Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesapea	ake Drive Sui	te 116, San Dieg	jo, CA 92123



COMPARABLE SALE # 650 Inyo Avenue E Tulare, CA 93274



COMPARABLE SALE # 624 Inyo Avenue W Tulare, CA 93274

Produced by ClickFORMS Software 800-622-8727

Levitt Appraisal Service

MULTI PURPOSE SUPPLEMENTAL ADDENDUM FOR FEDERALLY RELATED TRANSACTIONS

File No. **22-0029** Case No.

Borrower/Client Evans, Charlie III									
Property Address 758 S. S Street									
City Tulare	County		Tulare	S	State	CA	Zip Code	93274	
Lender Salas Financial		Address	9320 Chesapea	ke Drive Sui	te 116	, San	Diego, CA 9	2123	•

This Multi-Purpose Supplemental Addendum for Federally Related Transactions was designed to provide the appraiser with a convenient way to comply with the current appraisal standards and requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the Office of the Controller of Currency (OCC), The Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) and the Federal Reserve.

and the Federal Reserve.	
This Multi-Purpose Supplement Addendum is for use with any appraisal. Only those statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.	
PURPOSE & FUNCTION OF APPRAISAL	
The purpose of the appraisal is to estimate the market value of the subject property as defined herein. The function of the a assist the above-named Lender in evaluating the subject property for lending purposes. This is a Federally related transaction.	
X EXTENT OF APPRAISAL PROCESS	
X The appraisal is based on the information gathered by the appraiser from public records, other identified sources, inspersible subject property and neighborhood, and selection of comparable sales within the subject market area. The original source parables is shown in the Data Source section of the market grid along with the source of confirmation, if available. The original source is present first. The sources and data are considered reliable. When conflicting information was provided, the source dereliable has been used. Data believed to be unreliable was not included in the report nor used as a basis for the value of	of the com- ginal source emed most
X The Reproduction Cost is based on Marshall & Swift Residential Cost Services supplemented by the appraiser's knowledge of the local market.	
X Physical depreciation is based on the estimated effective age of the subject property. Functional and/or external depresent, is specifically addressed in the appraisal report or other addenda. In estimating the site value, the appraiser has personal knowledge of the local market. The knowledge is based on prior/or current analysis of site sales and/or abstract values from sales of improved properties.	as relied on
X The subject property is located in an area of primarily owner-occupied single family residences and the Income Approach dered to be meaningful. For this reason, the Income Approach was not used.	s not consi-
The Estimated Market Rent and Gross Rent Multiplier utilized in the Income Approach are based on the appraiser's know subject market area. The rental knowledge is based on prior and/or current rate surveys of residential properties. The C Multiplier is based on prior and/or current analysis of prices and market rates for residential properties.	
For income producing properties, actual rents, vacancies and expenses have been reported and analyzed. They have been ject future rents, vacancies and expenses.	used to pro-
X SUBJECT PROPERTY OFFERING INFORMATION	
According to the local MLS service, the subject X has not been offered for sale in the past 30 days. is currently offered for sale within the past 30 days for \$ Was offered for sale within the past 30 days for \$ Offering information was considered in the final reconciliation of value. Offering information was not considered in the final reconciliation of value. Offering information was not available. The reasons for unavailability and the steps taken by the appraiser are explaintly addendum.	
X SALE HISTORY OF SUBJECT PROPERTY	
According to Public Records (Parcelquest) the subject has not transferred in the past twelve months. X has not transferred in the past 36 months. has transferred in the past twelve months. X has transferred in the past 36 months. X All prior sales which have occurred in the past months are listed below and reconciled to the appraised value, either in of the report or in the addenda. Date Sales Price Document # Seller Buyer	,
X FEMA FLOOD HAZARD DATA	
X Subject property is not located in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area. Subject property is located in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area. Zone FEMA Map/Panel# Map Date Name of Community	
X 06107C-1275E 06/16/2009 City of Tulare	
The community does not participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. X The community does participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. X It is covered by a regular program. It is covered by an emergency program.	

22-0029 File No.

Case No.

X CURRENT SALES CONTRACT
The subject property is currently not under contract . The contract and/or escrow instructions were not available for review . The unavailability of the contract is explained later in the addenda section.
The contract and/or escrow instructions were reviewed. The following summarizes the contract:
Contract Date
The contract indicated that personal property was not included in the sale. The contract indicated that personal property was included. It consisted of Estimated contributory value is \$
Personal property was not included in the final value estimate. Personal property was included in the final value estimate. The contract indicated no financing concessions or other incentives. The contract indicated the following concessions or incentives:
If concessions or incentives exist, the comparables were checked for similar concessions and appropriate adjustments were made, if applicable, so that the final value conclusion is in compliance with the Market Value defined herein.
MARKET OVERVIEW Include an explanation of current market conditions and trends.
1 - 4 month(s) is considered a reasonable marketing period for the subject property based on on MLS statistical data.
X ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATION
 The Appraiser certifies and agrees that: (1) Their analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report was prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP"). (2) Their compensation is not contingent upon the reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event. (3) This appraisal assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.
ADDITIONAL (ENVIRONMENTAL) LIMITING CONDITIONS
The value estimated is based on the assumption that the property is not negatively affected by the existence of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions unless otherwise stated in this report. The appraiser is not an expert in the identification of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property did not develop any information that indicated any apparent significant hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions which would affect the property negatively unless otherwise stated in this report. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified hazardous substance and environmental expert would reveal the existence of hazardous substances or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its value.
X ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
None
X APPRAISER'S SIGNATURE & LICENSE/CERTIFICATION
Appraiser's Signature Effective Date
CO-SIGNING APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION
The co-signing appraiser has personally inspected the subject property, both inside and out, and has made an exterior inspection of all comparable sales listed in the report. The report was prepared by the appraiser under direct supervision of the co-signing appraiser. The co-signing appraiser accepts responsibility for the contents of the report including the value conclusion and the limiting conditions, and confirms that the certifications apply fully to the co-signing appraiser. the co-signing appraiser has not personally inspected the interior of the subject property and: has not inspected the exterior of the subject property and all comparable sales listed in the report. has inspected the exterior of the subject property and all comparable sales listed in the report. The report was prepared by the appraiser under direct supervision of the co-signing appraiser. The co-signing appraiser accepts responsibility for the contents of the report, including the value conclusions and the limiting conditions, and confirms that the certifications apply fully to the co-signing appraiser with the exception of the certification regarding physical inspections. The above describes the level of inspection performed by the co-signing appraiser. The co-signing appraiser's level of inspection, involvement in the appraisal process and certification are covered elsewhere in the addenda section of this appraisal.
CO-Signing APPRAISER'S SIGNATURE & LICENSE/CERTIFICATION Co-Signing
Appraiser's Signature Effective Date Date Prepared
Co-Signing Appraiser's Name (print) Phone # ()